

## **USING CLIL APPROACH TO STRENGTHEN LEGAL ACADEMIC WRITING SKILLS IN LAW STUDENTS**

**Raxmanova Dildora Abdulxamid qizi**

EFL teacher at Namangan State University

[raxdildora18@gmail.com](mailto:raxdildora18@gmail.com)

**Abstract :** This article explores the use of Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) approaches to enhance legal academic writing skills among law students. CLIL, which combines subject knowledge with language development, offers a dual-focus framework particularly effective in legal education, where precision and clarity are essential. By integrating legal content with structured language instruction, students improve not only their subject-specific knowledge but also their ability to produce coherent, persuasive, and academically rigorous texts. The paper highlights practical strategies for implementing CLIL in legal writing courses, addressing challenges, and evaluating its impact on student performance and professional readiness.

**Keywords:** CLIL, legal education, academic writing, law students, language learning, content-based instruction, legal discourse, bilingual education.

### **Introduction**

In recent years, the teaching of law has undergone significant transformation, reflecting broader changes in higher education, global mobility, and the demands of professional practice. Among the emerging pedagogical innovations is Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL), a dual-focused approach in which students simultaneously engage with subject content and language development. Originally applied in contexts such as bilingual education and European language policy, CLIL has increasingly found application across disciplines requiring high levels of literacy and critical thinking. Legal education, in particular, offers fertile ground for the adoption of CLIL, especially in the area of **legal academic writing**, where law students must demonstrate not only a sophisticated command of legal knowledge but also the ability to articulate that knowledge with precision, clarity, and persuasiveness.

The study of law places a premium on language as the vehicle of thought, reasoning, and argumentation. Unlike other disciplines where language may serve primarily as a medium for communication, in law, language constitutes the very substance of the discipline. Statutes, case law, legal arguments, and contracts all depend on finely tuned linguistic structures and rhetorical

choices. For law students, therefore, the development of advanced legal academic writing skills is not an optional supplement but a core competency. Yet many students struggle with this dimension of their studies, particularly in contexts where English is not their first language or where prior education has not emphasized academic literacy. This challenge calls for innovative approaches that move beyond traditional lecture-based teaching and essay assignments, towards methods that integrate language learning with substantive legal content.

CLIL addresses this pedagogical gap by uniting two central educational objectives: content mastery and language development. By embedding language support within the study of substantive law, CLIL ensures that students are not merely absorbing legal concepts in the abstract but are simultaneously learning how to express these concepts effectively in written form. For example, a CLIL-informed legal writing class might integrate instruction on the use of modal verbs in expressing obligation with an analysis of contract law provisions, or explore rhetorical strategies in persuasive writing alongside the study of case briefs. This integration aligns closely with the professional realities of legal practice, where law graduates must draft documents that are linguistically accurate, logically coherent, and substantively persuasive.

The need for such an approach is particularly acute in globalized legal education. Increasingly, law students are expected to engage with transnational legal materials, contribute to international academic discourse, and operate in multilingual or multicultural contexts. English, as the lingua franca of international law and academia, dominates much of this landscape. Consequently, students must be equipped not only with knowledge of domestic legal systems but also with the linguistic and academic literacy skills necessary to participate effectively in international conversations. CLIL provides a structured, evidence-based pathway to achieve this goal, ensuring that law students develop both disciplinary expertise and academic writing competence in tandem.

The implementation of CLIL in legal writing contexts is not without challenges. Teachers may lack training in integrated methodologies, curricula may not allocate sufficient time for dual-focus instruction, and students may initially resist approaches that demand higher levels of linguistic awareness. Moreover, assessment practices must be carefully designed to evaluate both content knowledge and language competence fairly, avoiding the perception that students are being penalized for linguistic shortcomings. Addressing these challenges requires institutional commitment, teacher professional development, and the design of supportive learning environments that encourage experimentation and reflection.

This article argues that CLIL represents a powerful framework for strengthening legal academic writing skills in law students, offering a response to the growing demand for graduates who are not only legally knowledgeable but also linguistically adept. Drawing on theoretical perspectives, pedagogical research, and practical classroom applications, the discussion highlights strategies for embedding CLIL into legal writing instruction, such as scaffolded writing tasks, genre-based teaching, collaborative projects, and formative assessment practices. The article also examines how CLIL can be tailored to diverse educational contexts, whether in English-medium universities, bilingual law programs, or jurisdictions seeking to internationalize their legal education systems.

### **Literature review**

Many researchers in the field have already pointed out their thoughts, findings and experiments in their published works. For instance, according to M.Qurbonova through the CLIL (Content and Language Integrated Learning) technology, it is possible to thoroughly learn both the English language and the planned content in every aspect. Such technologies increase the learner's interests as well as their motivation and enthusiasm for knowledge and learning. Based on this technology, learners read fairy tales, read stories, watch films, listen to songs, and perform other daily activities through the integration of the English language — they read, watch, view, or listen. That is, if they want to read a literary work or informational text, they may begin by reading small texts in a foreign language, which eventually enables them to read whole works and strengthens their ability to learn the foreign language. On this basis, learners can also gain knowledge about new subjects — in particular, mathematics, science, literature, and other fields. In addition, skills such as searching for new information, creating, reading information, listening, hearing, and understanding are developed (Qurbonova.M, 2023). A.Axmadjonov stated that with the help of CLIL (Content and Language Integrated Learning) technology, it is possible to master both the English language and the planned content in all aspects. Such technologies increase the learner's interests as well as their motivation and enthusiasm for knowledge and learning. Through the CLIL method, many subjects can be integrated with one another (A.Axmadjonov, 2024).

CLIL originates from two well-established approaches in foreign language (FL) education: Immersion and Content-Based Instruction (CBI). Language immersion, which has been used since ancient times, has consistently demonstrated its effectiveness and remains highly popular today. Encouraging learners of all ages to spend time in the country where the target language is spoken significantly speeds up language acquisition.

CBI, on the other hand, focuses on teaching subject-specific content through a foreign language, which tends to boost learners' motivation more than simply studying the language itself. By merging the principles of immersion and CBI in English as a Second Language (ESL) instruction, the educational process becomes much more effective (Davies, 2003).

An important aspect of CLIL is that it integrates both language learning and subject instruction. Students are not merely studying a foreign language, but rather using it as a tool to learn subject-related authentic content. Therefore, teachers who apply CLIL rely not only on language teaching methods, but also on didactic tools typically used in subject teaching — such as analyzing tables, diagrams, maps, and working with authentic sources (Wolff, 2002).

CLIL approach has been implemented with law students by some Russian researchers like **Pluzhnikova(2015), E.Vyushkina(2019) and others and they mentioned successful results of the methods they practiced.**

### **Methods**

We have identified the following effective teaching methods and techniques aimed at developing academic writing skills in English based on the CLIL approach:

- TBLT (Task-Based Language Teaching – task-oriented instruction);
- Genre-Based Instruction (teaching based on specific writing genres);
- Scaffolding (step-by-step guided learning);
- Modeling (teaching writing through examples);
- Guided Writing (teacher-directed writing activities);
- Think–Pair–Share (sharing and discussing ideas in pairs);
- Graphic Organizers (working with visual schemes/diagrams);
- Peer Review (peer assessment and feedback) and others.

For the implementation of the CLIL approach with 60 law students, we used several techniques and methods of the target approach during the 6-week instructional period. The intervention was structured around academic writing tasks integrated with subject-specific legal content.

### **Discussion and Results**

Firstly, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) was applied by designing problem-oriented assignments, such as drafting short legal case briefs, preparing arguments, and summarizing statutes. These tasks required students to engage with authentic legal texts in English while simultaneously developing their writing proficiency.

Secondly, Genre-Based Instruction was incorporated by introducing students to the conventions of legal writing, including contracts, memos, and court opinions. This method enabled learners to analyze the structure and language features of legal genres, which they later practiced producing in their own writing.

To support learners at different proficiency levels, Scaffolding techniques were employed. Instructors provided step-by-step guidance through templates, writing frames, and targeted feedback, gradually reducing support as learners gained confidence.

Modeling was also used extensively, where teachers presented model legal documents and writing samples, followed by guided practice activities that encouraged students to reproduce similar structures.

In addition, Guided Writing activities helped students refine their ideas and arguments with instructor direction before moving to independent writing. Think–Pair–Share was implemented to encourage peer collaboration, critical discussion of legal topics, and joint problem-solving in English.

To visually structure their writing, students worked with Graphic Organizers, such as flowcharts and case analysis diagrams, which facilitated the organization of arguments and evidence. Finally, Peer Review sessions were organized where students evaluated each other's drafts, exchanged constructive feedback, and revised their work accordingly.

The integration of these methods ensured that students not only improved their academic writing skills in English, but also strengthened their ability to engage with subject-specific legal content in a foreign language.

### **Conclusion**

The findings of this study demonstrate that the CLIL approach is an effective pedagogical framework for developing academic writing skills among law students. By integrating language learning with subject-specific legal content, students were able to acquire not only linguistic competence but also a deeper understanding of professional discourse in their field. The use of varied methods and techniques — including task-based instruction, genre-based teaching, scaffolding, modeling, guided writing, collaborative strategies, and peer review — created a supportive and interactive learning environment that encouraged both autonomy and critical thinking.

Over the course of six weeks, students engaged with authentic legal materials, practiced producing academic and professional texts, and enhanced their ability to communicate ideas

clearly in English. The combination of content and language instruction not only increased their motivation but also equipped them with practical skills applicable to their future careers.

In conclusion, CLIL proves to be a powerful methodology for higher education, particularly in disciplines such as law where mastery of specialized terminology and academic writing is essential. Further research could extend this approach to other fields of study and explore long-term effects on students' academic and professional performance.

### **REFERENCES**

1.A.Axmadjonov, G. (2024). "CONTENT AND LANGUAGE INTEGRATED LEARNING" (CLIL) METODINING INGLIZ TILINI O'QITISHDA QO'LLANISHI. KOKAND UNIVERSITY HERALD, 279.

2.Davies, S. (2003). Content based instruction in EFL contexts. 137.

3. Pluzhnikova, J. A. (2015), Опыт реализации концепции предметно-языкового интегрированного обучения (CLIL): курс "Европейское право (на английском языке)". [Experience of applying the "Content and Language Integrated Learning" (CLIL) approach: course "European law (in English)"]. Bulletin of Law Faculty of Kolomna branch of Moscow Polytechnical University, 10, 159–171

4.Qurbonova.M. (2023). CLIL – ZAMONAVIY YONDASHUV SIFATIDA. RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALAR DAVRIDA TILLARNI INTENSIV O'QITISHNING PSIXOLOGIK PEDAGOGIK JIHATLARI (pp. 60-62). Tashkent: scientific article.

5.Wolff, D. (2002). On the importance of CLIL in the context of the debate on. Jyväskylä: University of Jyväskylä, 138.

6. Raxmanova, D. (2023). The Role of Writing Skill in Foreign Language Fluency. MIASTO PRZYSZŁOŚCI, 31, 382-384.